

The Changing Face of Academic Leadership: From Administration to Transformation

Al-Ghazier Haradji Kandon

*Tawi-Tawi Regional Agricultural College, TRAC Compound, Nalil, Bongao, Tawi-Tawi, 7500,
Philippines*

Corresponding email: alghazierharadjikandon@gmail.com

For decades, academic leadership in higher education was often understood through the language of administration: planning schedules, implementing policies, managing budgets, monitoring compliance, and maintaining institutional order. These responsibilities remain necessary, but universities now operate in environments defined by technological disruption, global competition, changing student expectations, financial pressures, and demands for social relevance. In such conditions, academic leaders cannot be effective simply by keeping systems functioning. They must help institutions learn, adapt, collaborate, and transform. The changing face of academic leadership is therefore a movement from administration alone toward transformation.

The shift does not mean that administration has become unimportant. Universities need sound procedures, accountability, and responsible stewardship of resources. Niewiesk and Garrity-Rokous (2021) emphasize that academic administrative work requires identifiable competencies and systematic improvement. Their framework highlights areas such as values and behavior, developing people, decision-making, and other domains that make leadership more than a collection of routine tasks. The lesson is that administration should provide the foundation for institutional improvement rather than become the final purpose of leadership.

Transformation begins when leaders understand that universities are communities of knowledge, not merely organizations of production. Academic work depends on professional expertise, collegial relationships, intellectual freedom, and collaboration across disciplines. Leadership that relies only on hierarchy can therefore create resistance or distance between decision-makers and the people expected to implement change. Jones and Harvey (2017) argue that distributed leadership can support higher education change by shifting from a leader-centric model toward broader participation in leadership activity. This approach recognizes that meaningful transformation cannot be imposed by one office alone.

Distributed leadership also changes the question of who gets to lead. Leadership capacity can exist among faculty members, program coordinators, researchers, professional staff, and students. Jones et al. (2012) describe a collaborative framework that emphasizes multi-level and cross-functional participation rather than individual power and control. Such an approach is particularly relevant when institutions face complex problems that cross organizational boundaries. A curriculum reform, digital transformation, research initiative, or student-support program may require expertise from several units. Leaders who can connect these perspectives are better positioned to build solutions that are both practical and widely supported.

Yet collaboration should not be romanticized. Bolden, Petrov, and Gosling (2009) demonstrate that distributed leadership can involve tensions between top-down and emergent forms of influence. The language of participation can sometimes conceal existing power relationships rather than eliminate them. Transformative academic leadership therefore requires transparency about authority, responsibility, and decision-making. Leaders must create genuine opportunities for participation while remaining accountable for difficult choices. Collaboration becomes meaningful when people can influence decisions, understand the reasons behind them, and see how their contributions affect institutional direction.

The need for transformation became especially visible during periods of disruption. The experience of the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated that universities can be forced to redesign teaching, communication, student services, and organizational practices with little preparation. Owusu-Agyeman (2023), examining transnational higher education in Ghana, argues that disruption requires transformative and innovative academic leadership capable of responding to present and learning needs. The implication extends beyond pandemics: academic leaders must develop institutions that can respond intelligently to disruption instead of depending on stability as a permanent condition.

Transformative leadership also requires a different relationship with evidence. Decisions should not be driven solely by tradition, personal preference, or pressure from stakeholders. Leaders need to use institutional data, research evidence, faculty expertise, student perspectives, and community knowledge. They should ask not only whether a policy has been implemented but whether it has improved teaching, learning, research, inclusion, or public value. In this sense, leadership becomes an ongoing cycle of inquiry, action, evaluation, and adjustment.

Most importantly, academic leadership is about people. Transformation succeeds when faculty and staff understand its purpose, possess the capacity to participate, and believe that their work matters. Leaders should therefore invest in professional development, mentoring, psychological safety, and opportunities for shared problem-solving. They must also model the behaviors they expect from others: openness to feedback, willingness to learn, intellectual humility, and courage to reconsider established practices.

The future university will need leaders who can hold two responsibilities at once. They must protect institutional standards while questioning practices that no longer serve students. They must manage resources while investing in innovation. They must respect academic traditions while preparing institutions for new realities. They must provide direction without silencing the expertise of others.

Academic leadership is changing because higher education itself is changing. The effective leader of tomorrow will not be measured only by how efficiently an office operates, but by how successfully a community learns and evolves. Administration keeps the institution functioning; transformation gives it direction and purpose. When academic leaders combine competence, collaboration, evidence, ethical responsibility, and a willingness to change, they do more than manage universities. They help build institutions capable of shaping the future they are preparing students to enter.

References

- Bolden, R., Petrov, G., & Gosling, J. (2009). Distributed leadership in higher education: Rhetoric and reality. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 37(2), 257–277. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143208100301>
- Jones, S., & Harvey, M. (2017). A distributed leadership change process model for higher education. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 39(2), 126–139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2017.1276661>
- Jones, S., Lefoe, G., Harvey, M., & Ryland, K. (2012). Distributed leadership: A collaborative framework for academics, executives and professionals in higher education. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 34(1), 67–78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2012.642334>
- Niewiesk, S., & Garrity-Rokous, E. G. (2021). The academic leadership framework: A guide for systematic assessment and improvement of academic administrative work. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 40(4), 50–63. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.22083>
- Owusu-Agyeman, Y. (2023). The COVID-19 crises and the future of academic leadership in transnational higher education: The case of Ghana. In *International Perspectives on Education and Society* (pp. 41–60). Emerald Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1479-367920230000044003>

ARTICLE DETAILS

EIR-0000095; Received: April 03, 2026; Accepted: April 06, 2026; Published: April 09, 2026

CITATION:

Kandon, Al-Ghazier H. (2026). *The Changing Face of Academic Leadership: From Administration to Transformation*. Education and Industry Review: Essays and Critiques. DOI: 10.62596/eir.jtr89q66

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2026 by author(s). Education and Industry Review: Essays and Critiques is published by Stratworks Research Inc. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), allowing redistribution and reproduction in any format or medium, provided the original work is cited or recognized.